Dan Kikinis Appl. No. 10/656,443

Atty. Docket: 2222.2340002

Remarks

Reconsideration of this Application is respectfully requested.

Upon entry of the foregoing amendment, claims 1-13 are pending in the application, with claims 1, 5, 8, and 11-13 being the independent claims. Claims 1, 4-8, and 10-13 are sought to be amended. These changes are believed to introduce no new matter, and their entry is respectfully requested.

Based on the above amendment and the following remarks, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider all outstanding rejections and that they be withdrawn.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102

The Office Action rejected claims 1-13 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,370,141 to Giordano, III *et al.* (hereinafter "Giordano"). (*See* Office Action at p. 2.) Applicant respectfully traverses these rejections.

Regarding amended independent claim 1, it recites (emphasis added):

A system for configuring a packet switched network appliance, comprising:

a server configured to store first data, to receive second data from the packet switched network appliance via a first network, and to convey third data to the packet switched network appliance via said first network; and

a control routine configured to execute on said server and to use said first data and said second data to produce said third data, wherein said third data is used to configure the packet switched network appliance to have access to a second network at an access point, wherein said second network is a packet switched network, and wherein a determination of said access point includes a consideration of a distance between the packet switched network appliance and said access point.

Each of independent claims 5, 8, and 11-13 has been amended in a similar manner.

Atty. Docket: 2222.2340002

Giordano does not disclose, teach, or suggest a system for configuring a packet switched network appliance in which a determination of an access point to a packet switched

network includes a consideration of a distance between the packet switched network

appliance and the access point. Consequently, none of claims 1, 5, 8, 11, 12, or 13 is

anticipated by Giordano. Because claims 2-4, 6, 7, 9, and 10 depend upon claims 1, 5, or 8

and because of the distinctive features of claims 2-4, 6, 7, 9, and 10, these claims are also not

anticipated by Giordano. Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner

reconsider and remove his rejections of claims 1-13 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) and pass these

claims to allowance.

Conclusion

All of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed. Applicant

therefore respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider all presently outstanding

rejections and that they be withdrawn. Applicant believes that a full and complete reply has

been made to the outstanding Office Action and, as such, the present application is in

condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal

communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to

telephone the undersigned at the number provided.

Dan Kikinis Appl. No. 10/656,443 Atty. Docket: 2222.2340002

Prompt and favorable consideration of this Amendment and Reply is respectfully

requested.

Respectfully submitted,

STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.

Timothy A. Doyle

Attorney for Applicant Registration No. 51,262

Date: 23 APR 07

1100 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005-3934 (202) 371-2600

635215_2.DOC